

**Application No.:** 10/782,266  
**Filing Date:** February 18, 2004

## **SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW**

### Attendees, Date and Type of Interview

The interview was conducted on August 14, 2008, and was attended by Examiner Kathleen Sonnett and attorney Andrew Douglas.

### Exhibits and/or Demonstrations

(none)

### Identification of Claims Discussed

Claims 91, 113, 119, 122, and 130 were discussed.

### Identification of Prior Art Discussed

United States Patent No. US 5,643,314 ("Carpenter") was discussed.

### Proposed Amendments

Proposed amendments to the claims that were discussed included a proposal to amend the claims to particularly point out that at least a portion of the device moves between a generally concave state and a generally convex state during expansion of the device.

### Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Applicant believes that Carpenter does not anticipate the claimed inventions with or without the claim amendments set forth herein because, inter alia, Carpenter does not disclose, suggest, or render obvious all of the limitations set forth in the rejected claims.

### Results of Interview

Applicant believes that the Examiner agreed that at least some of the proposed amendments differentiated the claimed inventions over Carpenter, but that further searching and consideration may be conducted in connection with amendments made.